Asymmetric synthesis of C_2 -symmetric 5,6-bis(benzyloxy)cyclohexa-1,3-diene and a tricarbonyliron complex

Akiko Watanabe, Takao Kamahori, Mariko Aso and Hiroshi Suemune*

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 10th June 2002, Accepted 17th September 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 18th October 2002



The C_2 -symmetric 5,6-bis(benzyloxy)cyclohexa-1,3-diene and the corresponding tricarbonyliron complex have been synthesized in enantiomerically pure form. Reaction of the complex with trialkylaluminium gave a mono-alkylated product accompanied by racemization.

Introduction

In the course of our studies on the development of asymmetric reactions using a metal catalyst with novel diene compounds as chiral ligands,¹ we describe the asymmetric synthesis of C_2 -symmetric 5,6-bis(benzyloxy)cyclohexa-1,3-diene **5** and its tricarbonyliron complex **6**. Previously, we reported the enantio-selective synthesis of cyclohexa-3,5-diene-1,2-diol **3**, starting fom optically active cyclohex-4-ene-1,2-diol **1** prepared by kinetic resolution using an enzymatic process. In this work, we designed the corresponding benzyl ether **5** as a key compound for the construction of the tricarbonyliron complex **6**.

Results and discussion

A preliminary synthetic approach to **5** by direct *O*-benzylation of cyclohexa-3,5-diene-1,2-diol **3** gave a complex mixture. Next, *O*-benzylation of C_2 -symmetric (1R,2R,4R,5R)-4,5-dibromocyclohexane-1,2,-diol **2** under usual conditions gave the desired product **4** in 98% yield. Reaction of **4** with DBU in benzene at 50 °C gave the corresponding diene² **5** in 49% yield accompanied by an aromatized product, (phenylmethoxy)benzene, in 8% yield. The structure of **5** was confirmed by spectroscopic analyses. In the ¹H-NMR spectrum, C1–H and C2–H were observed at δ 4.44 (2H, s), and the olefinic protons (C(3– 6)–H) at δ 5.92–6.00 (4H). In the ¹³C-NMR spectrum, eight carbon signals were observed for the C_2 -symmetric structure (Scheme 1).



Next, syntheses of tricarbonyliron complexes of cyclohexa-1,3-diene derivatives³ were studied by using (\pm) -3, (\pm) -5 and *cis*-cyclohexa-3,5-diene-1,2-diol 9. At first, complex formation of (\pm) -5 with Fe₂(CO)₉ was investigated.⁴ The results are

 Table 1
 Synthesis of tricarbonyliron-diene complex 6

Entry	Solvent	Fe ₂ (CO) ₉ /eq.	Time/h	Yield (%)
1		2	1	42
2		2	4	58
3	THF	2	6	42
4		3	2	64
5	Et ₂ O	2	4	_ ^a
6	(i-Pr) ₂ O	2	4	25
7	dioxane	2	4	7 ^b
	-			

^{*a*} The (\pm)-5 was recovered. ^{*b*} Reaction gave a complex mixture.



summarized in Table 1 and (Scheme 2). It was found that the reaction was highly affected by the solvent used. Among the solvents such as Et_2O , (i-Pr)₂O, dioxane and tetrahydrofuran (THF), only THF gave reasonable results affording the desired product (\pm)-6 in 42–64% yield (entries 1–4 in Table 1), although the reproducibility of this reaction was somewhat poor. On the other hand, reaction in Et₂O did not afford (\pm)-6 at all.

In the ¹H-NMR spectrum of (\pm) -6, olefinic protons were observed at & 5.4-5.5 (C2,3-H, 2H), & 3.10 (C4-H, 1H) and δ 3.01 (C1–H, 1H). A pair of benzylic methylene protons was observed at δ 4.53 (C6–exo–OCH₂, 2H, s) and another pair at δ 4.50 and 4.38 (1H each, *endo*-C6–OCH₂, d, J = 11.6 Hz). The protons at the C5,6-positions were also observed at δ 3.84 and 3.53, respectively.^{3a} Reaction of *trans*-diol (\pm)-3 under the same conditions in THF gave a complex mixture, and the isolable product was a reduced derivative (±)-7 (8% yield). Compound (±)-7 was converted into the corresponding benzoate (±)-8 in 80% yield. The structures of 7 and 8 were determined by spectroscopic analyses. The reaction of prochiral cis-diol 9 with Fe₂(CO)₉ gave a complex 10 in 49% yield, which was not stable for purification by silica-gel column chromatography.^{3b} The crude complex 10 was converted into the corresponding dibenzoate 11 in 94% yield, which was sufficiently stable for purification (Scheme 3). The stereochemical structure of complex 11 was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis as shown in Fig. 1 and Table 2. It was interesting that the relative stereochemistry between the iron and the bis(benzoyloxy) group was endo, which suggested that chelation control between

DOI: 10.1039/b205526k

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2002, 2539–2543 2539

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry 2002

	11
Empirical formula	C ₂₃ H ₁₆ O ₇ Fe ₁
Mr	460.22
Crystal dimensions/mm	$0.50 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$
Data collection temp.	−150 °C
Crystal system	Orthorhombic
Lattice parameters:	
a, b, c/Å	11.2695(7), 17.0311(8), 10.3072(6)
$a, \beta, \gamma l^{\circ}$	90, 90, 90
V/Å ³	1978.3
Space group	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$
Z value	4
$D_{\rm calc}/{\rm g/cm^3}$	1.545
μ (Mo-K α)/cm ⁻¹	8.06
No. of observations	$2583(I > -10.0\sigma(I))$
No. of variables	346
R, R _w	0.047, 0.070
No. of reflections of calc. R_1	$2360(I > 2.0\sigma(I))$
R_1	0.028
Solvent of crystallization	EtOH

11



11 (94%)

OBz

(OC)₂

Scheme 3 Reagents: i. Fe₂(CO)₉; ii. BzCl, pyridine.



Fig. 1 ORTEP drawing of the crystal structure of 11 with atom numbering (ellipsoids at 50% probability).

the iron and oxygen atoms of the hydroxy groups might preferentially act on diastereomeric face selection.⁵

Synthesis of the optically active complex

These results prompted to us synthesize the corresponding complex in optically active form and to study its application as a chiral source. Reaction of (R,R)-5 (97% ee) with Fe₂(CO)₉ (1 equiv.) in refluxing THF for 2 h gave optically active (R,R)-6 in 95% ee and 40% yield. To our knowledge, this is the first example of the preparation of an optically active tricarbonyliron complex without diastereoface selectivity because of its

Table 3 Complexation of (R, R)-5

$(R,R)-5$ (97% ee) $Fe_2(CO)_9$ THF, reflu	x (R,R)-6
Reaction duration/h	% Ee of (<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-6
2	95
4	89
6	80
9	44

 C_2 -symmetry. The optical purity of complex **6** was determined by high performance liquid chromatography with a CHIRAL-PAK AD column detected using UV radiation at 260 nm.⁶ To our surprise, prolonging the reaction decreased the ee of the complex as shown in Table 3. On the other hand, the ee of the isolated complex **6** (95% ee) was not decreased by the 5 h reaction with Fe₂(CO)₉. This racemization during the formation of the complex might be caused by some species with weak Lewis acidity derived from Fe₂(CO)₉.

Synthetic approach to cyclohexadiene derivatives

In order to study the synthetic applications of complex 6, substitution reactions of optically active complex 6 and trialkylaluminium were studied. The results are shown in Scheme 4.





Scheme 4

Reaction of (R,R)-6 (87% ee) with trimethylaluminium gave monomethylated product 12 in 61% yield, and that with triethylaluminium gave monoethylated 13 in 62% yield. The relative stereochemistry between the benzyloxy and alkyl groups was determined to be *trans* after conversion into the corresponding compounds 14 and 15. In the NOESY spectrum of compound 14, correlation between C5–H and C6–Me was observed, and a similar relationship was also observed in that of compound 15. In addition, both products 12 and 13 were optically inactive, which means that complete racemization took place during the reaction. These results prompted us to study the reaction of optically active 6 (78% ee) with several Lewis acids such as BF₃·OEt₂, SnCl₄ and TiCl₄ at -15 °C in CH₂Cl₂ for 1.5 h. In all cases, the recovered substrate in 80–95% yield was completely racemized into 0% ee

These results led us to consider the reaction mechanisms as shown in Fig. 2. At first, the Lewis acid coordinated the ether oxygen opposite the Fe atom because of steric effects, then elimination of the benzyloxy group afforded a prochiral dienyl cation (A) as an intermediate. Finally, regeneration of the C–(O-benzyl) bond opposite the Fe atom at the C4 or C6 position of (A) gave completely racemic **6**. These reaction



mechanisms were also supported by the reaction of (R,R)-6 (87% ee) with BF₃·OEt₂ in the presence of MeOH to afford the racemic 16 in 63% yield. In this reaction, formation of a bis-(methoxy) product was not observed. For the formation of the cationic intermediate A, the *exo*-benzyloxy group at the C6–position was considered necessary based on the fact that the bis-*endo*-(benzoyloxy) complex (11) did not react with trialkyl-aluminium and/or Lewis acid. These obtained results using optically active complex 6 might be important evidence of the formation of the dienyl cation intermediate (A).

Experimental

IR spectra were measured with a JASCO A-202 spectrometer or a ThermoNicolet Avator 320 KYD spectrometer. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured by a JEOL JNM-GX 270 or JNM-GX 500 spectrometer using CDCl₃ as a solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Coupling constants (*J*) are given in Hz. Mass spectra were measured on a JEOL JMS-600H or JMS-SX/SX102A spectrometer. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-360 polarimeter in units of 10^{-1} deg cm² g⁻¹. HPLC were performed using a HITACHI L-7100F with UV-detection, and Chiralpak AD was used as a chiral column. For column chromatography, silica gel (Nakarai Tesque, Silica Gel 60, 230–400 mesh) was used. All organic solvent extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄.

(-)-(1*R*,2*R*,4*R*,5*R*)-4,5-Bis(phenylmethoxy)-1,2-dibromocyclohexane (-)-4

A solution of (1R,2R,4R,5R)-4,5-dibromocyclohexane-1,2-diol (2, 2.74 g, 10 mmol) in DMF (10 ml) was added to a stirred mixture of 60% sodium hydride (1.3 g, 30 mmol, 3 eq.) in DMF (50 ml) at -15 °C under an Ar atmosphere. Benzyl bromide (4.5 ml, 70 mmol) was then added dropwise at the same temperature. The mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by addition of sat. aqueous NH₄Cl and was extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate = 30:1) to afford (-)-4 (4.4 g, 98% yield) as a colorless oil. $[a]_{D}^{24} = 44.9$ (c = 1.09, CHCl₃). δ_H (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.39–7.27 (10H, m, Ph), 4.60 (4H, s), 4.45 (2H, t, J = 3.47), 3.79 (2H, t, J = 2.64), 2.60–2.50 (2H, m), 2.41– 2.32 (2H, m); δ_c(68 MHz, CDCl₃) 138.1 (s), 128.4 (d), 127.7 (d), 127.5 (d), 76.17 (d), 71.83 (t), 52.30 (d), 36.02 (t); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (neat) 3020, 2850, 1440, 1170, 1080, 1060, 1020, 720, 680; m/z (FAB) 453 ($[M + H]^+$ 33.3), 181 (100) [Calcd For $C_{20}H_{23}O_2Br_2$: M + H 453.0065. Found: $(M + H)^+ 453.0036$ (FAB)]. For (+)-(1S,2S,4S,5S)-4,5-bis(benzyloxy)-1,2-dibromocyclohexane $(+)-4 [a]_{D}^{22} = +44.4 (c = 1.20, CHCl_{3}).$

(-)-(5R,6R)-5,6-Bis(benzyloxy)cyclohexa-1,3-diene (-)-5

To a solution of (-)-4 (2.27 g, 5 mmol) in benzene (20 ml), DBU (3 ml, 20 mmol) was added at room temperature. The reaction was warmed to 50 °C and stirred for 5 h. After addition of water to the reaction mixture, it was extracted with benzene. The organic layer was dried and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (hexane–ethyl acetate = 20 : 1) to give (–)-**5** (715 mg, 49% yield) as a colorless oil. $[a]_D^{24} = -270.7$ (c = 1.00, CHCl₃) 97% ee. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.38–7.26 (10H, m, Ph), 6.00–5.91 (4H, m), 4.65 (4H, s), 4.44 (2H, d, J = 1.0); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (68 MHz, CDCl₃) 138.5 (s), 128.4 (d), 127.8 (d), 127.6 (d), 124.5 (d), 78.22 (d), 70.85 (t); $v_{\rm max}$ / cm⁻¹ (neat) 3040, 2850, 1490, 1440, 1080, 1060, 720, 680; *m*/*z* (FAB) 292 (M⁺, 7.0), 91 (Bn, 100) [Calcd For C₂₀H₂₀O₂: M 292.1463. Found: M⁺ 292.1481 (EI)]. For (+)-(5*S*,6*S*)-5,6-bis(benzyloxy)cyclohexa-1,3-diene ((+)-**5**); $[a]_{\rm D}^{18} =$ +263.0 (c = 1.04, CHCl₃), 96% ee.

Typical procedure for complex formation

(-)-(5*R*,6*R*)-[5,6-Bis(benzyloxy)cyclohexa-1,3-dienyl]tricarbonyliron (-)-6

To a solution of (-)-5 (300 mg, 1.0 mmol) in THF (25 ml), iron nonacarbonyl (730 mg, 2 mmol) was added portionwise at room temperature. The reaction was refluxed for 2 h after which time it was passed through a short silica-gel column (ether), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate = 15:1) to give (-)-6 (250 mg, 58% yield) of 95% ee as a yellowish oil; $[a]_{D}^{20} =$ $-71.9 (c = 0.91, \text{CHCl}_3); \delta_{\text{H}} (270 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) 7.35-7.23 (10\text{H},$ m, Ph), 5.49–5.41 (2H, m), 4.54 (2H, s), 4.51 (1H, d, J = 11.7), 4.37 (1H, d, J = 11.7), 3.84 (1H, dd, J = 3.63, 0.99), 3.53 (1H, dd, J = 3.63, 0.99, 3.10 (1H, m), 3.02 (1H, d, J = 6.27); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (68 MHz, CDCl₃) 210.6 (s), 138.4 (s), 138.3 (s), 128.4 (d), 128.3 (d), 127.7 (d), 127.6 (d), 127.5 (d), 85.0 (d), 84.4 (d), 84.3 (d), 78.4 (d), 71.0 (t), 70.2 (t), 58.97 (d), 58.40 (d); v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (neat) 3025, 2850, 2920, 2045, 1970 (br), 1440, 1340, 1080, 1060, 730, 690; m/z (FAB) 431.1 ($[M - H]^+$, 1.6), 241.1 ($[M-OBn-(CO)_3]^+$, 100) [Calcd for $C_{23}H_{19}O_5Fe: M - H 431.0582$. Found: $(M - H)^+$ 431.0543 (FAB)]; For (+)-6 of 95% ee; $[a]_{D}^{20} = +72.1$ (c = 0.90, CHCl₃).

(1*RS*,2*SR*,5*RS*)-(1-Hydroxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl)tricarbonyliron (±)-7

Yellow solid; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.31–5.24 (2H, m), 3.80 (1H, m), 3.22 (1H, m), 3.14 (1H, ddd, J = 2.31, 3.62, 11.87), 2.07 (1H, ddd, J = 2.31, 6.39, 15.84), 1.56–1.48 (1H, m); $v_{\rm max}$ /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 3256, 2943, 2895, 2038, 1942 (br), 1455, 1424, 1378, 1326, 1292, 1256, 1078, 1059, 1026, 935, 902, 622, 560,; *m*/*z* (FAB) 235 ([M - H]⁺).

(1*SR*,2*RS*,3*RS*,6*SR*)-(1,2-Dihydroxycyclohexa-3,5-dienyl)-tricarbonyliron 10

Compound **10** was obtained as a crude yellow solid; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.29 (2H, dd, J = 2.64, 5.28), 3.84 (2H, s), 3.15 (2H, t, J = 3.29), 2.64 (2H, br s); $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (KBr) 3250, 2030, 1970, 1940, 1320, 1285, 1220, 1070, 1040; m/z (FAB) 252.0 (M⁺).

(1*RS*,2*SR*,5*RS*)-(1-Benzoyloxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl)tricarbonyliron (±)-8

To a stirred solution of (±)-7 (126 mg, 0.53 mmol) and pyridine (0.1 ml) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 ml)) was added benzoyl chloride (0.15 ml, 1.25 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. After addition of water, the reaction was extracted with ether. The organic layer was dried and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane–ethyl acetate = 10 : 1) to afford (±)-**8** (169 mg, 91% yield) as yellowish needles; mp 113.4–114.4 °C (acetone); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 8.10 (2H, m), 7.57 (1H, m), 7.46 (2H, m), 5.38 (1H, m), 4.99 (1H, m), 3.33 (1H, m), 3.19 (1H, m), 2.23 (1H, ddd, J = 2.31, 6.93, 15.84), 1.81 (1H, dt, J = 2.64, 15.84); $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (KBr) 3059, 3032, 2923, 2939, 2833, 2049, 1958, (br), 1704, 1488, 1448, 1283, 1263, 1111, 711, 620, 558; *m*/*z* (FAB) 354 (M⁺); Anal. calcd for $C_{16}H_{12}FeO_5$: C, 56.50; H, 3.56. Found: C, 56.56; H, 3.52%.

(1RS,2SR,3RS,6SR)-[1,2-Bis(benzoyloxy)cyclohexa-3,5dienyl]tricarbonyliron 11

By a similar manner to that described for the preparation of **8**, compound **10** was converted into bis-benzoate **11** in 94% yield; yellow prisms; mp 156.5–157.5 °C (EtOH); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCI₃) 7.94 (4H, dd, J = 1.32, 8.58), 7.47 (2H, tt, J = 1.65, 7.59), 7.26 (4H, t, J = 7.59), 5.45 (2H, dd, J = 3.30, 5.28) (2H, s), 3.26 (2H, s), 2.64 (2H, tt, J = 1.32, 3.96); $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm^{-1}}$ (KBr) 3380, 3330, 2020, 1980, 1950, 1710, 1250, 1100, 1060, 1010, 920, 930, 880, 795, 695; m/z (FAB) 458.9 ((M – H)⁺, 0.9); Anal. calcd for C₂₃H₁₆FeO₇: C, 60.30; H, 3.50. Found: C, 60.08; H, 3.50%.

X-Ray analysis †: The yellow prisms of 11 were grown from acetone-EtOH. Data collection was performed on a Rigaku-RAXIS-RAPID Imaging Plate diffractometer, graphite monochromated Mo-Ka radiation. Crystal and collection parameters are listed in Table 2. The crystal remained stable during the X-ray data collection. The structure was solved by direct methods with SIR926 and expanded by Fourier techniques.⁷ All non-H-atoms were given anisotropic thermal parameters, and H-atoms were refined isotropically. The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement of 11 gave an R factor of 0.047 ($R_w = 0.070$) based on 2583 ($I > -10.00 \sigma(I)$) reflections and R_I factor of 0.028 based on 2360 ($I > 2.0 \sigma(I)$) reflections, and the largest peak and hole in the final difference Fourier map were 0.36 and -0.36 eÅ⁻³. All calculations were performed by means of the teXsan⁸ crystallographic package. The absolute configuration could not be determined from the refinement.

Reaction of 6 with trialkylaluminium

To a solution of (R,R)-6 of 87% ee (432 mg, 1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml), 1.0 M trialkylaluminium (2 ml, 2 mmol) was added at -78 °C under an Ar atmosphere. After being stirred for 2 h at the same temperature, the reaction was warmed slowly to room temperature. The reaction was quenched by addition of 1% aqueous HCl, and the reaction mixture was extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, brine and dried. After removal of the solvent *in vacuo*, the residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (hexane–ethyl acetate = 50 : 1) to give (±)-12 or -13.

(1*RS*,4*SR*,5*SR*,6*RS*)-5-Benzyloxy-6-methylcyclohexa-1,3dienyltricarbonyliron (±)-12

Yield: 54%; yellow oil; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.38–7.25 (5H, m, Ph), 5.32 (1H, m), 5.26 (1H, m), 4.54 (1H, d, *J* = 11.9), 4.49 (1H, d, *J* = 11.9), 3.15 (1H, d, *J* = 6.6), 3.10 (1H, s), 3.05 (1H, m), 2.08 (1H, m), 0.95 (3H, d, *J* = 7.26); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (68 MHz, CDCl₃) 211.5 (s), 138.7 (s), 128.3 (d), 127.5 (d), 127.4 (d), 84.18 (d), 83.06 (d), 80.38 (d), 69.90 (t), 67.28 (d), 63.23 (d), 42.74 (d), 23.97 (q); $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm^{-1}}$ (neat) 3040, 2960, 2925, 2860, 2050, 1990–1940 (br), 1450, 1335, 1260, 1090, 1060, 1020, 860, 730, 690; *m*/*z* (FAB) 340.1 (M⁺, 8.2) [Calcd for C₁₇H₁₆O₄Fe: M 340.0398. Found: M – H⁺ 340.0392 (FAB)].

(1*RS*,4*SR*,5*SR*,6*RS*)-5-Benzyloxy-6-ethylcyclohexa-1,3-dienyl-tricarbonyliron (±)-13

Yield: 82%; yellow oil; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.35 (5H, br, Ph), 5.29 (2H, br), 4.52 (2H, br), 3.16 (2H, br), 3.09 (1H, br), 1.89 (1H, br, C2–H), 1.22 (2H, m, –CH₂–Me), 0.85 (3H, br, –CH₂–

 CH_3 ; v_{max}/cm^{-1} (neat) 3035, 2970, 2920, 2850, 2045, 1980–1950 (br), 1445, 1335, 1280, 1090, 1070, 1020, 855, 730; m/z (FAB) 354.1 (M⁺) [Calcd for $C_{18}H_{18}O_4Fe$: M 354.0555. Found: M⁺ 354.0564 (FAB)].

(5RS,6SR)-5-Benzyloxy-6-methylcyclohexa-1,3-diene (±)-14

To a stirred solution of NaOH (200 mg, 6 mmol) and 30% H₂O₂ (0.7 ml, 6 mmol) in MeOH (8 ml), a solution of (±)-12 (340 mg, 1 mmol) in MeOH (2 ml) was added at 0 °C. After being stirred for 1 h, the reaction was diluted with ether, and washed with 1% aqueous HCl, saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and brine, and dried. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate = 20 : 1) to give (±)-14 (148 mg, 74% yield). Colorless oil; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCl₂) 7.37–7.26 (5H, m, Ph), 6.05 (1H, dd, J = 9.61, 5.03), 5.91–5.80 (3H, m), 4.59 (1H, d, J = 11.9), 4.52 (1H, d, J = 11.9), 3.88 (1H, m), 2.62 (1H, m), 1.02 (3H, d)J = 7.26; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (68 MHz, CDCl₃) 138.9 (s), 133.3 (d), 128.3 (d), 127.7 (d), 127.4 (d), 125.7 (d), 124.4 (d), 121.6 (d), 77.47 (d), 69.38 (t), 34.43 (d), 17.79 (q); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (neat) 3060, 3040, 2960, 2925, 2870, 1495, 1450, 1380, 1025, 1060, 730, 1020, 690; m/z (FAB) 200 (M⁺, 16), 91 (Bn, 100); [Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆O: M 200.1201. Found: M⁺ 200.1166 (FAB)].

(5RS,6SR)-5-Benzyloxy-6-ethylcyclohexa-1,3-diene (±)-15

A similar reaction of (±)-**13** gave (±)-**15** in 69% yield; colorless oil; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.38–7.30 (4H, m, Ph), 7.28–7.24 (1H, m, Ph), 6.06 (1H, ddd, J = 0.91, 5.03, 8.69), 5.94 (1H, dt, J = 1.14, 5.03), 5.90–5.86 (2H, m), 4.57 (1H, d, J = 11.89, O–CH₂–Ph), 4.52 (1H, d, J = 11.89, O–CH₂–Ph), 3.96 (1H, t, J = 5.03, C1–H), 2.47 (1H, m, C2–H), 1.50–1.35 (2H, m, –CH₂–Me), 0.93 (3H, t, J = 7.55, –CH₂–CH₃); $v_{\rm max}$ /cm⁻¹ (neat) 3060, 3040, 2960, 2925, 2870, 1495, 1450, 1380, 1025, 1060, 730, 1020, 690; m/z (FAB) 214.2 (M⁺) [Calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O: M 214.1358. Found: M⁺ 214.1319 (FAB)].

(1*RS*,4*SR*,5*RS*,6*RS*)-5-Benzyloxy-6-methoxycyclohexa-1,3dienyltricarbonyliron 16

To a stirred solution of (\pm)-6 (432 mg, 1 mmol) and MeOH (0.2 ml) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml), BF₃·OEt₂ (0.2 ml, 2 mmol) was added at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred at the same temperature for 1 h. The reaction mixture was poured into aqueous saturated NaHCO₃, and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were dried and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatogtaphy (hexane–ethyl acetate = 50 : 1) gave (\pm)-16 (157 mg, 63% yield as a yellow oil. v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (neat) 3025, 2930, 2870, 2050, 1970, 1170, 1025, 1000, 940, 930, 905, 860, 735, 695; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.39–7.25 (5H, m, Ph), 5.43 (2H, C5,6–H), 4.56 (2H, s, O–*CH*₂–Ph), 3.61, 3.43, 3.08, 3.00 (1H each, olefinic H), 3.25 (3H, s, OMe); *m/z* (FAB) 355 (M⁺ – H).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Mr K. Nakazawa for experimental support and Dr M. Tanaka for solving the X-ray structure.

References

- H. Suemune, A. Hasegawa and K. Sakai, *Tetrahedron:* Asymmetry, 1995, 6, 55; (b) J. Gawronski, K. Gawronska, G. Buczak, A. Katrusiak, P. Skowronek and H. Suemune, *Tetrahedron:* Asymmetry, 1996, 7, 301; (c) A. Takahashi, M. Aso, M. Tanaka and H. Suemune, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, 56, 1999 and references cited therein.
- 2 For (±)-5: H. B. Mereyala and M. Pannala, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1997, 1755.
- 3 (a) J. A. S. Howell and M. J. Thomas, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1983, 1401; (b) P. W. Howard, G. R. Stephenson and S. C. Taylor,

[†] CCDC reference number 187436. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/ p1/b2/b205526k/ for crystallographic files in .cif or other electronic format.

J. Organomet. Chem., 1988, **339**, 5; (c) P. W. Howard, G. R. Stephenson and S. C. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1988, 1603; (d) P. W. Howard, G. R. Stephenson and S. C. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1990, 1182.

- 4 H.-J. Knölker, B. Ahrens, P. Gonster, M. Heininger and P. G. Jones, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, 56, 2259 and references cited therein.
- 5 (a) S. M. Brown and T. Hudlicky, Organic Synthesis: Theory and Applications, Vol. 2, JAI Press Inc, London, 1993; (b) A. J. Pearson, A. M. Gelormini and A. A. Pinkerton, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 936.
- 6 A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, M. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi and G. Polidori, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1994, 27, 435.
- 7 P. T. Beurskens, G. Admiraal, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, R. de Gelder, R. Israel and J. M. M. Smits, 1994. The DIRDIF-94 program system, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- 8 teXsan: Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Molecular Structure Corporation, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA, 1985 and 1992.